

OFFICE OF CABLE TELEVISION AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS

STATE OF NEW JERSEY **Board of Public Utilities** 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th Floor Post Office Box 350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350 www.nj.gov/bpu/

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF VERIZON NEW JERSEY, INC. FOR RENEWAL OF A SYSTEM- WIDE CABLE TELEVISION FRANCHISE))))	ORDER OF APPROVAL SYSTEM-WIDE CABLE TELEVISION FRANCHISE RENEWAL
)	DOCKET NO. CE20080516

Parties of Record:

Richard C. Fipphen, Esq., Assistant General Counsel, Verizon New Jersey, Inc. Stefanie A. Brand, Esq., Director, New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel

BY THE BOARD:

On December 18, 2006, the Board of Public Utilities ("Board") issued an Order approving a System-Wide Franchise ("SWF") for 316 municipalities to Verizon New Jersey, Inc. ("Verizon", "VNJ" or "Petitioner") in Docket No. CE06110768 for a term of seven years which expired on December 18, 2013. Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 14:18-14.14, which requires Verizon to provide notice to the Board and the affected municipalities of its intention to add municipalities to its existing SWF, Verizon added an additional 64 municipalities which authorized the company to provide service to 379 municipalities in the State¹. The addition of these municipalities was memorialized in Orders of Amendment issued by the Board on August 1, 2007, for 32 municipalities: on April 9, 2008, for ten municipalities: on October 23, 2008, for one municipality: on April 27, 2009, for nine municipalities; on July 29, 2009, for one municipality; on April 11, 2012, for one municipality; on November 20, 2012, for one municipality; on April 29, 2013, for one municipality; and on July 19, 2013, for seven municipalities.

On January 30, 2014, the Board issued Verizon a Renewal SWF in Docket No. CE13080756, and memorialized the addition of one municipality: Stow Creek Township in Cumberland County, into Verizon's SWF. Since the approval of the renewal, Verizon has added an additional 12 towns. The addition of these municipalities was memorialized in Orders of Amendment in Board Orders issued July 23, 2014, July 23, 2015, November 30, 2016,

¹ In January of 2013, Princeton Borough and Princeton Township merged. Therefore, the initial number of 316 municipalities and the added 64 municipalities resulted in 379 municipalities where Verizon was authorized to provide service.

January 25, 2017, June 30, 2017, August 23, 2017, November 21, 2017, September 7, 2018, and September 25, 2018. The total number of municipalities covered by Verizon's SWF is now 392. A list of the municipalities included in Verizon's SWF is attached as Exhibit "I".

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On January 24, 2018, the Board notified Verizon of its intention to review its performance under its SWF pursuant to Federal and State guidelines as outlined above. On February 13, 2020, the Board invited Verizon to file comments on its performance under its SWF and to assess how it will meet the future needs of the communities listed in its franchise application. Verizon filed its initial comments with the Board on March 30, 2020. On May 5, 2020, the Board issued its Ascertainment Report on Verizon's performance under its SWF and the future system-wide cable television franchise needs of the State and the municipalities under the SWF.

On August 5, 2020, Verizon filed electronically² for renewal of its SWF, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-19 and N.J.A.C. 14:18-14.18, and noted that the required Renewal Application fees and public hearing fees would be submitted at a later date to be determined by the Board. Notice of the Renewal Application was also provided electronically to the clerks of each municipality where Verizon is authorized to provide cable television service under its current franchise.

Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 14:18-14.18, the Board was required to hold two public hearings in this matter, in two separate locations. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the public hearings were conducted remotely by teleconference. Notice of the hearings were published in several newspapers of general circulation throughout the State, as well as, posted on the websites of Verizon, the Board, and the Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel"). Additionally, Board Staff provided the hearing notice electronically to the clerks of each municipality where Verizon is authorized to provide cable television service under its current franchise. The Director of the Board's Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications ("OCTV&T") presided over the hearings conducted on September 29, 2020 and October 1, 2020. In each case, the public was invited to provide oral and/or written comments on the application, and members of the public were able to present their views on Verizon's filing. Both hearings were transcribed by a court reporter, with the transcripts included in the record of this matter. Additionally, written comments were accepted by the Board until October 15, 2020, as noted in the publication of the Notice of Public Hearing. As described below, parties provided comments at both hearings expressing support or opposition to the application as filed.

Following its review of Verizon's application, public hearing comments, and written comments received, Board Staff issued discovery requests to Verizon on November 19, 2020 and December 4, 2020, respectively, seeking additional follow-up information. Verizon provided responses on December 4, 2020 and December 9, 2020, respectively.

² Due to the COVID-19 Pandemic, on March 9, 2020, Governor Murphy Signed Executive Order No. 103 ("EO 103"), N.J.S.A. App.A:9-45 & App.A:9-47, in order to ensure the continuity of government service during the State of Emergency which allowed agencies to waive, suspend or modify any existing rules. Thereafter, on March 19, 2020, the Board adopted its Order under Docket No. EO20030254, which allowed for temporarily waiving the paper filing of documents and allowing for the electronic filing of documents with the Board's Secretary and Rate Counsel. The Board suspended submittal of fees due with the filing as well as hearing fees, until further notice but noted that suspended filing fees would be due at a future date to be determined by the Board.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

At both hearings, comments were provided by Verizon which asserted that the applicant had satisfied all of its current franchise requirements and noted that its performance had been reviewed by the Board in the May 5, 2020 Ascertainment Report. Verizon stated that its franchise encompasses 392 municipalities and provides service to 372 municipalities by offering service that is competitively provided, that it delivers hundreds of high definition channels, and expounded upon the wide range of programming sources available to its customers. Verizon touted its financial investment in the State as an employer and through its capital investment in its network, as well as, the beneficial financial support provided to the municipalities through the franchise fees paid by the applicant. Verizon indicated that it has met its statutory deployment requirements and, since 2013, it has expanded its service territory to additional municipalities, as well as, made Fios TV available to 500,000 additional subscribers. Verizon noted it currently offers Fios TV to more than 60 percent of the households in two thirds of the other municipalities within the franchise territory. Additionally, Verizon stated that it is meeting the statutory requirement of providing Public, Educational and Government access ("PEG") channels, along with training and equipment to support the PEG channels and free services in 310 municipalities across the state. Verizon noted that by providing the PEG channels, it is providing the public, local governments and schools with an opportunity to provide local programming and information. Verizon also noted that its corporation was commended by Forbes Magazine in its ranking of the top US based corporations relating to its response to the COVID-19 health crisis. Verizon indicated that it has met all legal obligations and urged the Board to renew the system-wide franchise.

At the September 29, 2020 hearing, those who offered comments in favor of the renewal included: Chip Hallock, President of the Newark Regional Business Partnership (NRBP), and Louis Handi, a Montvale resident. Both spoke in favor of Verizon's FiOS service, noting that it is providing the state with a technological competitive edge which is beneficial to residents and businesses by providing good service, especially with the problems created by the COVID-19 pandemic and the additional stress placed on residents and business. Both parties also filed written comments in support of the renewal.

Those who spoke in favor of conditional approval were: Bob Duthaler, President of JAG; David Garb, Vice President of JAG; and Alex Rubenstein, Mayor of Byram Township. The representatives of JAG stated that while the Verizon FiOS service is good, there are several issues that should be addressed during the renewal. The issues JAG discussed included requiring Verizon to provide PEG equipment and training; provide a contact person to municipalities for PEG training and equipment requests; requiring PEG channels be listed in Verizon's Guide; and require Verizon to address the disparity of PEG channels being cablecast in Standard Definition (SD) as opposed to High Definition (HD). JAG argues that HD is the method Verizon utilizes for broadcasting all other channels except PEG channels, and the broadcast of PEG channels in SD produces poor picture quality for the statutorily required PEG channels. JAG also provided written comments to the Board.

Mayor Rubenstein concurred with JAG's comments and requested that Verizon's franchise territory be expanded to encompass all of the 526 municipalities where Verizon provides telecommunications service. Byram Township also filed written comments in the form of a Township Resolution.

At the October 1, 2020 hearing, support for the renewal was offered by: Kenneth Haeser, Mayor of Weymouth Township; Hillary Cheba, Chamber of Commerce of Southern New Jersey; Marlene Asselta, President of the Southern New Jersey Development Council; and Lou Manzione, Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of NJ. Overall, these entities asked for an expedited review and approval of Verizon's SWF Renewal application. All of the above cited the positive impacts realized by Verizon's competition in the cable television market, including decreases in costs, increases in fees paid to municipalities, and other benefits to municipalities and their residents with better services. FiOS was touted as a superior technology which provides reliable services, especially needed during the COVID-19 pandemic. They stated that Verizon's System-wide franchise provides for advanced services to assist businesses, people working from home and children who are being home schooled, and cited the expansion of service to municipalities which did not previously have cable television service. The Mayor of Weymouth commended the expansion of service to both Weymouth and Estelle Manor, and as a former Verizon lineman, noted the improvement of service to both municipalities and expressed the municipalities' gratitude.

At the October 1, 2020 hearing, the following entities participated in the hearing and spoke in favor of conditional approval: Brian Wahler, Mayor of Piscataway Township; Theresa Berger, Mayor of- Howell Township; Alex Rubenstein, Mayor of Byram Township; Nick Besink, Oradell Township PEG Channel; and George Fairfield, Station Manager, Piscataway Community Television Station. Mayor Wahler reiterated JAG's requests and spoke to the issue of the PEG channels being carried in SD, which is problematic due to the degraded picture quality, as well as, the inability to get parts for the outdated SD technology, which could result in the municipalities reaching a point where they will not be able to program the PEG channels. In addition, Mayor Wahler requested a return system to allow monitoring of the PEG channels, as well as, requiring that the PEG channels be listed in Verizon's Guide. Mayor Berger of Howell Township requested the expansion of Verizon's service area because only a small portion of Howell currently receives Verizon's FiOS service and there are problems with the incumbent operator. Howell Township requested the service area be expanded to provide a competitive entity and offer improved services. Mayor Rubinstein of Byram Township noted the Township would be adopting a formal Resolution to be submitted, andread a portion of the R esolution into the record, which requested that Verizon be required to provide competitive cable and internet service to all municipalities in which they operate. Nick Besink of Oradell PEG channel commended Verizon for being responsive, but noted that since approximately 2009 all television networks have switched to HD technology, except for PEG. The problem with SD is that the technology has become obsolete, replacement equipment is no longer being made and is impossible to obtain. He noted the importance of the carriage of local PEG programming during the COVID-19 pandemic due to the importance of local information being readily available. He also requested the expansion of the franchise territory, as well as, requiring Verizon to carry PEG programming on its Guide. George Fairfield, Station Manager of Piscataway Community Television Station and a member of JAG, stated that he supports all requests from Mayor Wahler and JAG, and noted that more residents would like to have access to FiOS as it is a good product.

In addition to those who spoke at the September 29, 2020 and October 1, 2019 hearings, the following provided written comments in favor: Chip Hallock, President of NRBP; Kris Kolluri, President of Coopers Ferry Partnership [9/29/2020]; James Kirkos, CEO of Meadowlands Regional Chamber of Commerce; and Louis Hendi, a Montvale resident. All of the above stated that the benefits of Verizon's FiOS technology to the State are undeniable. They stated that the Verizon System-wide franchise has increased competitive services to residents and businesses, as well as, allows Verizon cable service to deliver HD programming, which benefits hundreds of municipalities and provides enhanced services to residents and businesses. These

services have been essential during the Covid-19 pandemic. The above parties were all in favor of the Board issuing Verizon a SWF renewal.

In addition to those who spoke at the hearings, the following provided written comments in favor of conditional approval: Bob Duthaler, President of JAG; David Garb, Vice President of JAG; Brian Wahler, Mayor of Piscataway Township; Byram Township via Resolution; and Brian Geoghegan, Township Manager of Howell Township.

JAG wrote in favor of the franchise, but requested that Verizon address the following issues that are required to be provided by the cable television franchise, specifically, the problems of the provision of PEG stations in SD versus HD; Verizon not providing the required PEG equipment and training; request for PEG stations to be listed in Verizon's channel guide; and contact information for a Verizon employee to assist with PEG access issues. JAG also requested that Verizon expand its service territory to include all municipalities in which Verizon has plant. JAG noted that PEG stations are a main source for community communications, news and involvement with local officials, including municipal governments and school boards, which is particularly important during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAG requested that the franchise be issued to Verizon with certain conditions. JAG requested that the Company be held accountable to the system-wide franchise commitments as required, and the above comments be addressed accordingly, especially regarding the provision of PEG access channels. Brian Wahler, Mayor of Piscataway wrote to request that the Board address all of the concerns voiced by JAG in the Verizon SWF Renewal.

Several commenters stated that Verizon either does not provide service or provides service to limited portions of their respective municipalities, and requested that Verizon expand its service territory to include unserved and partially served municipalities. A resolution adopted by Byram Township on October 6, 2020 was submitted by Doris Flynn, Township Clerk, requesting that the Board require the expansion of Verizon's service area during the review of the renewal of the SWF application. The Township noted the importance of cable and reliable internet. It also noted the importance of the deployment of fiber to rural areas for residents to benefit from access to competitive cable television and internet services. Brian Geoghegan, Township Manager for the Township of Howell, similarly requested in his written comments that the Verizon renewal of the SWF require expansion of the Verizon service area to include all of Howell Township, and provide improved service to all residents. He noted that the improved services are especially important due to the pandemic. He further stressed the importance of cable television service and reliable internet due to remote learning and telework. Both municipalities request that the Board exercise its powers to require expansion of Verizon's territory to underserved municipalities.

At both hearings, comments were provided by the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel ("Rate Counsel"), and also supplemented by written comments filed on October 14, 2020. Rate Counsel addressed the various statutory commitments required by Verizon under its franchise, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-28, including: required service deployment to the 70 "must build" municipalities; waivers under certain requirements for service to Multiple Dwelling Units ("MDU"); petitions for MDU access where access cannot be successfully negotiated with premises owners; line extension policies ("LEP"); PEG access channels; equipment and training; free basic cable and internet service to public schools, libraries and municipal buildings; and return feeds.

During the public hearings, Rate Counsel asked the Board to examine the record and Verizon's application fully prior to issuance of the Renewal SWF. On October 14, 2020, Rate Counsel filed written comments recommending that the Board's approval of the Renewal of Verizon's SWF be subject to conditions based on their review of the filing, as well as, comments provided at the hearings.

Rate Counselnoted three strong themes throughout the public hearings and urged the Board to assist in seeking: 1) statutory authority requiring service deployment beyond the statutory 70 "must build" towns; 2) deployment beyond 60% of a "must build" town; 3) improvement in the provision of PEG training, upgrading PEG equipment to transmit in High Definition ("HD") technology; and 4) requiring disclosure of PEG channel location in the channel lineup for each PEG channel. Additionally, they noted there were comments relating to the lack of deployment of service in rural areas of Verizon NJ's service territory, the lack of HD service for PEG channels, and requests for a designated Verizon contact person to assist residents with accessing PEG equipment and training.

Based on Rate Counsel's review of the Verizon SWF Application and comments provided by the public during the public hearings in this matter, Rate Counsel believes that Verizon has substantially met the provision of service requirements delineated under the State Cable Act and under the applicable sections of the Board's regulations. However, Rate Counsel's support for approval of Verizon NJ's SWF Renewal is conditioned on Verizon NJ's commitment to swiftly and without delay upgrade PEG transmission equipment so that programming occurs via HD transmission. Rate Counsel particularly notes its alarm at the public comments that indicate the equipment that provides transmission in analog format (the only format Verizon appears to accept for PEG programming) is in disrepair with replacement parts limited and unavailable in the near future. They noted that this presents a danger that promised services ensuring PEG programming used by municipalities to provide emergency notice to residents are at risk. Rate Counsel found this to be unacceptable under both State and Federal service obligations, and therefore, called for an immediate resolution to this issue. Additionally, and based on the same concerns previously expressed, Rate Counsel agrees with commentors that PEG channel locations must be clearly disclosed in Verizon NJ's channel lineup. Rate Counsel agrees that now more than ever due to increased storm activity and the current COVID-19 pandemic, town residents will need access to information provided on their PEG stations. Rate Counsel also urges the Board to require Verizon NJ to immediately provide the necessary PEG equipment upgrades and training, and require inclusion of PEG channel locations in Verizon's lineup, particular to each town served.

Regarding outstanding MDU waiver and access cases, Rate Counsel recommends that the Board continue to require that Verizon renew and provide updates regarding its contact with MDU owners to negotiate access where MDU residents have requested service. Rate Counsel is hopeful that Verizon will continue to successfully negotiate access to these MDUs and provide the competition envisioned and expected under the amended State Cable Act.

Rate Counsel recommends that the Board monitor Verizon's customer service requirements to ensure that all rules and regulation of the OCTV&T, especially pertaining to customer service, continue to be met and maintained.

Regarding deployment, Rate Counsel notes that the State Cable Act does not require that Verizon provide service outside of the 70 required municipalities, and it is within Verizon's discretion as to where they will deploy service outside of its statutory deployment commitments. However, the current pandemic has left no doubt regarding the need for deployment of

Verizon's FiOS cable television service and the additional services that may accompany the fiber deployment to communities that do not meet the current statutory eligibility prerequisites. Rate Counsel, therefore, urges the Board and Verizon NJ to work with rural communities, state legislators, and Rate Counsel to determine the best course of action to ensure communities within Verizon NJ's service territory are provided the level of service necessary to access vital information provided on municipal PEG channels along with service that allows them to effectively participate in telehealth, telework, and successfully engage in remote home learning. Rate Counsel stressed that rural communities must not be left out of the equation.

DISCUSSION

In 2006, the Legislature passed amendments to the State Cable Act which allowed Verizon to apply and receive a SWF. The Legislature was clear in what the Board could require of any SWF applicant. The Board is bound by the enabling statute and the adopted rules for application and enforcement. The terms and conditions of the SWF renewal application and approval process are dictated thusly. Modification of the Act falls well outside of the Board's authorized scope and domain. As such, the Board cannot address those issues raised by parties who are unhappy or dissatisfied with the underlying legislation, but will instead limit its review, as required, to the application for renewal of its SWF as permitted by statute and the rules.

In determining whether to issue Verizon a renewal of its SWF, the Board may only consider that which is allowed by the State Cable Act, which provides, at N.J.S.A. 48:5A-16(f), that "[i]n determining whether a system-wide franchise should be issued, the board shall consider only the requirements of sections 17 and 28 of P.L. 1972, c.186 (C. 48:5A-17 and C. 48:5A-28)."

N.J.S.A. 48:5A-17 permits the Board to issue a SWF following its review of the application, where it finds the applicant has complied or is ready, willing, and able to comply with all applicable rules and regulations imposed or pursuant to State or Federal law as preconditions for providing cable service. N.J.S.A. 48:5A-28 sets forth the elements that are in the application for a SWF. Based on the Board's general review of the application and supporting documentation, while Verizon's application appears to satisfy the requirements set forth by the Legislature, subject to certain conditions and compliance issues, the Board must nevertheless discuss a number of issues raised during the review process.

EXPANSION OF VERIZON'S SERVICE TERRITORY

Under the amended State Act, out of the 526 towns located in Verizon's local exchange telephone service territory, Verizon's deployment of its FiOS cable service was required in the residential areas of only 70 of the municipalities, consisting of the county seats in which it provides local exchange service, and those with greater than 7,111 residents per square mile. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-25.2a (2), Verizon is required, subject to certain exceptions with respect to multiple dwelling units (MDUs), to make its FIOS cable TV service available through the residential areas of the 70 must build municipalities within six years of the date service was initially made available. Verizon was granted approval to serve 316 municipalities in its initial franchise approved by the Board in December 2006. Under Verizon's renewal of the SWF approved in January 2014, the total number of municipalities where service was authorized

increased to 379.³ Verizon has since added 12 towns to its franchise and is now authorized to serve 392 towns. Verizon stated in its comments that it now has passed more than 2.7 million premises with its FiOS network in New Jersey, and is presently offering cable television service in all or parts of 372 of the total 392 towns in its franchise,⁴ and that it is offering cable television service to more than 60% of the households in at least 262 municipalities.⁵

Regarding deployment in the 70 required municipalities, as noted in the Board's 2014 Order renewing Verizon's franchise, Verizon furnished information to the Board via its quarterly deployment reports that it had achieved full availability of FiOS service in each of the 10 towns that were required for completion as of December 2012.⁶ Verizon was due to complete an additional 25 municipalities by year-end 2013, with the remaining half of the 70 required towns to be completed by year-end 2015. Verizon stated in its comments that it had satisfied its obligations under the above noted requirements by October of 2015.⁷

Many commenters requested that Verizon's renewal should be issued with significant conditions regarding expansion of the service area, and require that all municipalities be fully built with the capability of receiving the FIOS services. They argued that the advanced services provide reliable cable television and supporting telecommunications services that are necessary to all municipalities, especially rural municipalities that are currently being underserved. The above parties who requested expansion of the service areas also cited the reliability of the services during the pandemic and a need for advanced services to assist businesses, individuals working from home, and children who are being home schooled, especially in rural areas. A number of commenters wrote that the statute which enabled Verizon to receive its SWF was unfair in that it only required Verizon to provide service to all residents in 70 municipalities, while Verizon provides telecommunications service to all or parts of 526 municipalities within the State.

The issue regarding where Verizon has deployed and continues to deploy its FiOS cable television service beyond that specified in the statute is not within the regulatory authority of the Board. As noted above, N.J.S.A. 48:5A-25.2 requires Verizon to provide service to all residents in: 1) each county seat in Verizon's telecommunications service area; and 2) each municipality in Verizon's telecommunications service area that had a population density greater than 7,111 persons per square mile of land area based on the 2000 US Census. This equates to 70 municipalities. The Board cannot require Verizon to provide its FiOS cable television service to any other area or to provide service to the entirety of municipalities outside of this requirement. Verizon can choose to deploy service outside these 70 municipalities at its discretion. Although it has no statutory obligation to serve outside of the 70 "must build" municipalities, Verizon is currently providing service in all or parts of 372 municipalities.

In the Board's Ascertainment Report, Verizon's deployment activities appeared to halt following the completion of the 70 required towns, with Verizon adding only 12 additional towns during its past seven-year franchise term. The Board continues to receive large numbers of complaints from residents and municipalities questioning the reasons why they are unable to receive Verizon's services, consistent with the comments received from various municipalities. Despite interest, Verizon continues to maintain its position that it does not have plans to extend its

³ The Borough of Princeton and the Township of Princeton consolidated into one municipality to be known as Princeton "(Princeton") for all governmental functions, which became effective January 1, 2013.

⁴ Verizon March 30, 2020 Comments at 3.

⁵ Verizon March 30, 2020 comments at 2.

^{6 1/29/14} Board Order at 4.

⁷ Verizon March 30, 2020 comments at 4.

service to unserved communities in its footprint at this time. It is puzzling that Verizon has no plans to extend service to the twenty municipalities that it is authorized to serve. The motivation behind Verizon's decisions regarding service deployment is unclear, at best.

Unfortunately, while Verizon's refusal to entertain requests for extension of its service is disappointing to efforts aimed to encourage a more competitive cable television marketplace, as noted above, the Board lacks authority to require Verizon to extend its service beyond the 70 "must build" towns currently required. Likewise, issues regarding Verizon's provision of telecommunications service also fall outside of the review in this matter, which is limited to Verizon's SWF renewal application. Notwithstanding the Board's lack of statutory authority, the Board strongly urges Verizon to re-examine its plans and commitments as it relates to expansion of its service to the many unserved and underserved areas throughout its service territory in New Jersey to address the many concerns raised by commenters in this matter.

MDU WAIVERS/ ACCESS PETITIONS

As noted above, although Verizon's deployment commitments to the 70 required towns requires service to MDUs, Verizon must seek a waiver from the Board pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-25.2 if it believes it cannot deploy service as required for one or more of the following reasons:

"a) the Petitioner cannot access a development or building because of a claimed exclusive arrangement with another cable television company; b) the Petitioner cannot access a development or building using its standard technical solutions, under commercially reasonable terms and conditions after good faith negotiation; or c) the Petitioner, cannot access the public rights-of-way under reasonable terms and conditions."

Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-25.2, Verizon has submitted numerous waiver filings to the Board that have included properties located within the 70 required municipalities. With respect to Verizon's deployment to MDUs in the 70 required towns, the Board set forth conditions in Verizon's Renewal SWF issued January 2014, requiring that Verizon provide additional information in the quarterly service activation reports regarding the total addresses subject to waiver petitions and mandatory access petitions along with the dates filed, as well as, requiring waiver applications be filed prior to the required date of completion for deployment for the municipality in which the MDU is located.⁸ Verizon provided the required information to the Board in the quarterly activation reports up to its completion of deployment in October 2015.

In its comments to the Board, Verizon discussed its deployment efforts to serve MDUs, which requires that MDU property owners enter into a premises access agreement (PAL) with Verizon to set the terms under which Verizon may be permitted to install equipment for the provision of service to the MDU. While Verizon has been able to successfully negotiate agreements to provide cable television service to thousands of MDU properties across the State, Verizon states in its comments that many MDU property owners have delayed and hampered their ability to provide service to MDUs. Among the reasons cited by Verizon for MDU owners refusing to allow access are: 1) doesn't want to inconvenience residents with an upgrade of the property's infrastructure unless residents request FiOS; 2) property already served by another cable provider; 3) doesn't want FiOS; and 4) doesn't want to affect the aesthetics of the property. While Verizon has filed 84 waiver notices with the Board, it indicated that it has still continued working with property owners to obtain access and, to date, has withdrawn waiver

9

⁸ 1/29/14 Board Order at 6.

⁹ Verizon Comments at 5

notices covering more than 660 of the 5,500 MDU properties it had been unable to serve initially with approximately 300 more pending withdrawal.

Board Staff has been working with Verizon to assist in resolving many of the difficulties Verizon has had with various MDU property owners. In several instances, property owners raised concerns with the Board regarding damage to their buildings that may occur due to Verizon's proposed installations plans, and Verizon's unwillingness to negotiate certain aspects of the PAL in that regard.

Verizon noted in its comments that it has also filed over 560 mandatory access petitions requesting that the Board either grant Verizon access or waive the deployment obligation. Pursuant to N.J.A.C. § 14:18-4.5(b), upon receipt of a request for service from an MDU resident, Verizon must request access from the MDU owner to provide service. If the MDU owner refuses to provide access, Verizon is required to file a petition for mandatory access with the Board. Verizon has withdrawn more than 120 of these petitions after having successfully negotiated access to the subject properties. Board Staff continues to work with Verizon to address resolution of these petitions as well.

Verizon provided Board Staff with data on the pending MDU waiver/access petitions in the quarterly deployment reports which Verizon filed during its completion of service to the 70 required "must build" towns. Following its completion of the build out as of October 2015, Verizon ceased providing the reports. While it is noted that Verizon has updated Board Staff upon request regarding the status of the outstanding waiver and MDU petitions, and has withdrawn a significant number having been able to reach consensus with the property owners, a majority of the cases remain unresolved due in part to the age of the cases. In an effort to resolve the matters, an immediate refresh of the data is required in both the waiver and access matters. In some instances, there may be changes to the property ownership which may result in Verizon being able to resolve issues presented by previous property owners. To further this effort, Board Staff recommends that Verizon provide updated data with respect to their last date of contact with property owners, and resume providing quarterly updates to both Board Staff and Rate Counsel in an effort to resolve the matters accordingly. Rate Counsel also recommends that the Board continue to require that Verizon provide updates regarding its contact with MDU owners to negotiate access.

PEG ACCESS CHANNELS/ REQUIRED PEG EQUIPMENT/TRAINING

There were several comments regarding issues concerning the provision of public, educational, and governmental (PEG) access channels; lack of PEG equipment and training; and lack of support from Verizon in dealing with issues municipalities face regarding the required PEG franchise commitment. JAG wrote on behalf of approximately 70 PEG channel operators that while Verizon is providing the requested PEG channels, the PEG channels are being cablecast in Standard Definition [SD], which produces a degraded transmission, while all other channels on Verizon's channel lineup are being cablecast in High Definition [HD]. In addition to JAG, several parties noted that the PEG access channels were being broadcast in SD only, despite the fact that Verizon utilizes HD technology for all other channels. The difference in the technology provides for poor picture quality on PEG channels. Furthermore, the problems of using outdated technology is making it difficult for municipalities to get replacement parts. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, municipalities are ever more reliant on PEG channels for local information. JAG asserted that Verizon is treating the PEG channels differently than other channels which is prohibited by Federal Law.

N.J.S.A. 48:5A-28(i) requires the applicant for a SWF provide a commitment to provide each municipality with two (2) PEG channels, as stated. In taking into consideration the provision of the PEG access channels in SD, it is noted that several municipalities, as well as, Rate Counsel have stated that community needs for the provision of PEG access channels are not being met. The parties noted that the PEG access channels are an important source of public information on a local level, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, the Board as the federally-recognized local franchising authority (LFA), may establish requirements for PEG channels to be provided in a manner that addresses community needs. ¹⁰ Although the Petitioner provides the PEG channels, they are provided only in SD which produces problems with signal quality, while providing all other channels in HD, which Verizon and a number of commenters have stated provides higher quality service. It appears that Verizon is capable of correcting the poor signal quality issue by broadcasting the PEG channels in HD. Therefore, while the Board as LFA is not inclined to deny the SWF based on the above issue, it is recommended that Verizon be required to take corrective action of this issue as a condition of the renewal of the SWF.

N.J.S.A. 48:5A-28(I) requires the applicant to provide a commitment to provide equipment and training to access users. A number of parties stated that Verizon was not providing the required training and equipment, and requested a designated contact from Verizon to assist the municipalities. It is noted that Verizon has provided the information requested to OCTV&T regarding the equipment and training, as well as, provided the municipalities with info on the designated contact. It is recommended that Verizon provide reports to OCTV&T staff regarding the provision of PEG equipment and training.

N.J.S.A. 48:5A-28(m) requires that the applicant shall provide a return feed from any one location in the municipality without charge to the CATV company's headend or other location of interconnection to the cable television system for public, educational or governmental use. The return feed, at a minimum, must provide the ability for the municipality to cablecast live or taped access programming in real time, as may be applicable, to the CATV's customers in the municipality. However, no CATV company is responsible for providing a return access feed unless a municipality requests such feed in writing. Several parties, including the Mayor of Piscataway, noted a lack of return line which Verizon is required to provide upon written request from the requesting municipality.

Additionally, several parties have noted that the PEG channels are not listed in the channel guide. Verizon has provided the OCTV&T staff with information indicating that the PEG access channels are listed on their guide and have provided information to that end. The OCTV&T staff will monitor this issue to ensure Verizon is meeting all notice requirements for channel allocation.

¹⁰ Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 531, "...[a] franchising authority may establish requirements in a franchise with respect to the designation or use of channel capacity for public, educational, or governmental use only to the extent provided in this section." Additionally, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §546(d)), renewal of a franchise can only be denied for one or more of the following reasons: "1) The cable operator has not complied with the material terms of the existing franchise and applicable law; 2) The quality of the operator's service, including signal quality, response to consumer complaints, and billing practices, but without regard to the mix or quality of cable services other services provided over the system, have not been reasonable in light of community needs; 3) The operator does not have the financial, legal, and technical ability to provide the services, facilities and equipment it has proposed to provide; 4) The operator's franchise renewal proposal is not reasonable to meet the future cable- related needs and interests of the community, taking into account the cost of meeting such needs and interests.

FINDINGS

Based upon these findings, the Board <u>HEREBY CONCLUDES</u> that, pursuant to the System-Wide Cable Television Franchise Act and the Cable Television Act, the Petitioner has complied or is ready to comply with all applicable rules and regulations imposed by or pursuant to State and Federal law as preconditions for engaging in the proposed cable television operations; that the Petitioner has sufficient financial and technical capacity; meets the legal, character, and other qualifications necessary to construct, maintain, and operate the necessary installations, lines and equipment; and is capable of providing the proposed service in a safe, adequate and proper manner.

Therefore, the Petitioner is <u>HEREBY</u> <u>ISSUED</u> this Renewal System-Wide Cable Television Franchise for a period of seven years as evidence of Petitioner's authority to operate a cable television system within the jurisdiction set forth in its application, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. All of the commitments and statement contained in the application for renewal of System-Wide Cable Television Franchise and any amendments thereto submitted in writing to the Board, except as modified herein, are binding upon Verizon as terms and conditions of this Renewal System-Wide Cable Television Franchise. The application and any other relevant writings submitted by Verizon shall be considered a part of this System-Wide Cable Television Franchise and made part hereof by reference.
- 2. The franchise term shall be seven years, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-19 and N.J.A.C. 14:18-14.11.
- 3. Verizon may add additional municipalities to its System-Wide Cable Television Franchise without seeking approval from the Board, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 14:18-14.14. Verizon must provide notice at least 48 hours prior to activation to the Board, Rate Counsel, and the affected municipality via certified mail.
- 4. Verizon shall provide any and all maps of the network in each municipality to the Board and Rate Counsel at least two business days and no less than 48 hours prior to turning on its system in any municipality.
- 5. Verizon shall comply with N.J.S.A. 48:5A-28(h) and shall provide service to all residents passed by cable television service in accordance with the line extension policy ("LEP") included in its application with a homes per mile ("HPM") of no greater than 30. Where the existing cable television company maintains a policy whereby residents of a municipality shall be offered service without application of an LEP, Verizon shall provide service to all residents likewise. Where residents of a municipality are currently offered service by the existing cable television company in accordance with an LEP of less than 30, the Petitioner shall be required to offer service in accordance with the attached LEP with an HPM at least as favorable as the existing cable television company. Additionally, the terms and conditions associated with the LEP shall meet or exceed those provided by the incumbent cable television operator in each municipality, and limitations and restrictions imposed in the Verizon LEP beyond those that exist in the incumbent's LEP shall be null and void, and instead shall be modified to match those provided by the incumbent's LEP.

6. Upon identification of a street, streets, or portions of streets within a municipality that will be subject to the LEP, Verizon shall provide notice with a list of the streets in question to the Board, Rate Counsel, and the affected municipality, during normal business hours and no less than 48 hours prior to activation. Upon request of a potential customer, Verizon shall also provide a copy of this information to the potential customer.

- 7. Verizon shall continue to comply with the statutory deployment commitments for the 70 required municipalities, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-25.2(a)(1) and (2). Any failure by Verizon to continue to comply with providing service to the 70 required municipalities shall be considered a violation of the franchise, which may be enforced by the Board pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-28.2.
- 8. Verizon shall continue to comply with N.J.S.A. 48:5A-25.2(a)(1) and (2). In the event Verizon believes it cannot deploy service as required under N.J.S.A. 48:5A-25.2 because: a) it cannot access a development or building because of a claimed exclusive arrangement with another cable television company; b) it cannot access a development or building using its standard technical solutions, under commercially reasonable terms and conditions after good faith negotiation; and/or c) it cannot access the public rights-of-way under reasonable terms and conditions, Verizon shall file for relief of deployment requirements, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 14:18-15.3.
- 9. Verizon shall continue to provide non-discriminatory service and shall provide the Board and Rate Counsel with notification of any and all situations where Verizon decides not to serve multiple dwelling units due to provisions of N.J.S.A. 48:5A-25.2 noted above within 30 days of Verizon making such determination.
- 10. Within 60 days of issuance of this Order, Verizon will refresh data on all pending MDU waiver and access cases and provide status in report to OCTV&T and Rate Counsel.
- 11. Verizon will provide quarterly updates to OCTV&T and Rate Counsel detailing outreach conducted on all pending MDU waiver and access cases, including: number of properties/cases contacted; summary of contact; result of contact; number of matters resolved; and reason for non-resolution.
- 12. Verizon shall continue to maintain local service centers as set forth in its application and shall maintain local business offices and/or agents for assisting customers in making applications for service, resolving service inquiries, making bill payment and for the purpose of receiving, investigating and resolving complaints, in accordance with applicable law. Verizon currently has 15 local offices located throughout the State. [Appendix II Exhibit D]
- 13. Verizon shall maintain all required public records in a format suitable for viewing by the affected public at its offices.
- 14. The designated complaint officer for all municipalities in Verizon's System-wide Cable Television Franchise is the Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications.
- 15. Verizon shall pay a franchise fee to each municipality served in the amount of 3.5% of its gross revenues, as defined by N.J.S.A. 48:5A-3(x) and -30(d), paid by subscribers in the municipality. Additional regulatory fees shall be paid to the State in an amount not to exceed 2% of Petitioner's gross operating revenues derived from intrastate

operations, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-33. The Board finds these fees to be reasonable.

- 16. Verizon shall pay to the State Treasurer, in accordance with the CATV Universal Access Fund, an amount of up to 0.5% of its gross revenues, as defined by N.J.S.A. 48:5A-3(x) and -30(d), paid by subscribers in the municipality.
- 17. Verizon shall produce any and all books or records in the State within 72 hours of a request by the Board or Board Staff.
- 18. Verizon shall maintain informational schedule of prices, rates, terms and conditions for unregulated service and promptly file any revisions thereto. Rate and channel line-up changes shall be performed in accordance with applicable rules.
- 19. Upon written request of a municipality served by its System-wide Cable Television Franchise, Verizon shall provide and maintain up to two PEG access channels. If a municipality requests more than two PEG access channels, the municipality shall demonstrate the need for the additional PEG access channels in accordance with N.J.A.C. 14:18-15.4(a)1. The municipality shall assume all responsibility for the management, operations and programming of the PEG access channels in accordance with N.J.A.C. 14:18-15.4(a)4.
- 20. Verizon shall take corrective action to upgrade the PEG transmission equipment so that the PEG programming can occur via HD transmission. Verizon shall provide quarterly reports to OCTV&T staff on the status of the upgrade of the PEG access channels from SD to HD.
- 21. Within sixty (60) days of issuance of this order, Verizon shall provide a status update to the Board on the provision of equipment and training with NJEDge and shall update the PEG access equipment list to ensure that individuals and municipalities have real access to the equipment in a non-discriminatory manner. Verizon shall provide quarterly updates to the OCTV&T on the status of equipment and training programs for each college participating with NJEDge.
- 22. Within thirty (30) days of this order, Verizon shall establish a designee to be responsible for addressing municipalities' concerns regarding technical issues of the PEG access channels, the provision of PEG equipment and training. Verizon shall provide the name and contact information of the designee in writing to the OCTV&T and all municipalities served by Verizon under this Renewal Franchise. Furthermore, any changes to the company's designee shall be provided in writing to the Director of the OCTV&T and all municipalities within fifteen (15) days of such change.
- 23. Upon written request of a municipality served by its System-Wide Cable Television Franchise, Verizon shall provide or continue to provide and maintain return lines or other method of interconnection from any one location in the municipality, without charge, to a location of interconnection in its cable television system in order to allow live or taped cablecasting of PEG programming by the municipality. The return line or interconnection shall be provided in accordance with N.J.A.C. 14:18-15.4(c).

24. Upon written request of a municipality served by its System-Wide Cable Television Franchise, Verizon shall install and maintain, without charge, one service outlet activated for basic cable television service and Internet service to each fire station, public school, police station, public library and any other such building used for municipal purposes, in accordance with N.J.A.C. 14:18-15.5.

- 25. Pursuant to applicable law, Verizon shall maintain sufficient bond for the faithful performance of all undertakings by the applicant as represented in the application; and shall maintain sufficient insurance insuring the board, all municipalities served and the applicant with respect to all liability for any death, personal injury, property damage or other liability arising out of the applicant's construction and operation of its cable television system.
- 26. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:5A-28(n), Verizon shall continue to comply with any and all consumer protection requirements.
- 27. Within 30 days of the effective date of this Order, Verizon shall submit all filing and hearing fees to the Board required in conjunction with this filing, which were suspended pursuant to the Board's March 19, 2020 Order in Docket No. EO20030254.
- 28. All commitments and promises made in the application are hereby adopted and included as conditions as if fully set forth herein.

This Renewal System-Wide Cable Television Franchise is subject to all applicable State and Federal laws, the rules and regulations of the Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications, and any such lawful terms, conditions, and limitations as currently exist or may hereafter be attached to the exercise of the privileges granted herein. To the extent possible based upon the technology used to provide service, Verizon shall adhere to the operating standards set forth by the Federal Communications Commission's rules and regulations, 47 C.F.R. §76.1 et seq. including but not limited to, the technical standards 47 C.F.R. §76.601 through §76.630. Any modifications to the provisions thereof shall be incorporated into this Renewal System-Wide Cable Television Franchise.

Failure to comply with all applicable laws, rules, regulations and orders of the Board or the Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications and/or the terms, conditions and limitations set forth herein may constitute sufficient grounds for the suspension or revocation of this Renewal System-Wide Cable Television Franchise.

This Renewal System-Wide Cable Television Franchise is issued on the representation that the statements contained in the Petitioner's applications are true, and the undertakings therein contained shall be adhered to and be enforceable unless specific waiver is granted by the Board or the Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications pursuant to the authority contained in N.J.S.A. 48:5A-1 et seq.

Verizon's Renewal System-Wide Cable Television Franchise shall expire on December 18, 2027.

This Order shall be effective on December 18, 2020.

DATED: December 16, 2020

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

BY:

JOSEPH L. FIORDALISO

PRESIDENT

Yay-Ana Holden MARY-ANNA HOLDEN COMMISSIONER

UPENDRA J. CHIVUKULA COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

AIDA CAMACHO-WELCH

SECRETARY

DIANNE SOLOMON **COMMISSIONER**

ROBERT M. GORDON **COMMISSIONER**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF VERIZON NEW JERSEY, INC. FOR RENEWAL OF A SYSTEM-WIDE CABLE TELEVISION FRANCHISE DOCKET NO. CE20080516

SERVICE LIST

Richard C. Fippen, Esq.
Associate General Counsel
State Regulatory Affairs
Verizon New Jersey, Inc.
140 West Street, 7th Floor
New York, NY 10007
richard.fipphen@verizon.com

Ava Marie Madeam, Esq.
Director, State Regulatory Affairs NY,NJ,CT
Verizon New Jersey, Inc.
999 West Main Street, 3rd Floor
Freehold, NJ 07728
Avamarie.p.madeam@verizon.com

Sylvia Del Vecchio Manager, State and Govt. Affairs Verizon New Jersey, Inc. 9 Gates Avenue, 2nd Floor Montclair, NJ 07042-3399 sylvia.l.del.vecchio@verizon.com

Director, Rate Counsel
New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel
140 East Front Street, 4th Floor
Post Office Box 003
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Stefanie A. Brand, Esq. sbrand@rpa.nj.gov

Maria T. Novas-Ruiz, Esq.
Assistant Deputy Rate Counsel
Telco/Cable Section
mnovas-ruiz@rpa.nj.gov

Meliha Arnautovic, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Department of Law and Public Safety
Division of Law
25 Market Street, Post Office Box 116
Trenton, NJ 08625
Meliha.Arnautovic@law.njoag.gov

Board of Public Utilities 44 South Clinton Avenue, 9th FI Post Office Box 350 Trenton, NJ 08625-0350

Office of Cable Television and Telecommunications
Lawanda R. Gilbert, Esq., Director lawanda.gilbert@bpu.nj.gov

Nancy J. Wolf, Administrative Analyst 4 nancy.wolf@bpu.nj.gov

William Furlong, Bureau Chief William.furlong@bpu.nj.gov

Gloria Furlong
Supervising Administrative Analyst
Gloria.furlong@bpu.nj.gov

Jeffery Kaufman, Administrative Analyst 4 Jeffery.kaufman@bpu.ni.gov

Amy Drummond, Administrative Analyst 4 Amy.drummond@bpu.nj.gov

Richard Wagenblast, Administrative Analyst 4 Richard.wagenblast@bpu.nj.gov

Carol Artale, Esq.
Deputy General Counsel
carol.artale@bpu.nj.gov

Lanhi Saldana, Esq. Counsel's Office lanhi.saldana@bpu.nj.gov

APPENDIX "I" – List of Municipalities

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
1	Aberdeen Township	Monmouth	
2	Allendale Borough	Bergen	
3	Allenhurst Borough	Monmouth	
4	Allentown Borough	Monmouth	
5	Alloway Township	Salem	
6	Alpine Borough	Bergen	
7	Asbury Park City	Monmouth	YES
8	Atlantic Highlands Borough	Monmouth	
9	Audubon Borough	Camden	
10	Audubon Park Borough	Camden	YES
11	Avon-By-The-Sea Borough	Monmouth	
12	Barrington Borough	Camden	
13	Bay Head Borough	Ocean	
14	Bayonne City	Hudson	YES
15	Beachwood Borough	Ocean	
16	Bedminster Township	Somerset	
17	Belleville Township	Essex	YES
18	Bellmawr Borough	Camden	
19	Belmar Borough	Monmouth	
20	Bergenfield Borough	Bergen	YES
21	Berkeley Heights Township	Union	
22	Berkeley Township	Ocean	
23	Berlin Borough	Camden	
24	Berlin Township	Camden	
25	Bernards Township	Somerset	
26	Bernardsville Borough	Somerset	
27	Bloomfield Township	Essex	YES
28	Bloomingdale Borough	Passaic	
29	Bogota Borough	Bergen	YES
30	Boonton Township	Morris	
31	Bordentown City	Burlington	
32	Bordentown Township	Burlington	
33	Bound Brook Borough	Somerset	
34	Bradley Beach Borough	Monmouth	YES
35	Branchburg Township	Somerset	
36	Brick Township	Ocean	
37	Bridgeton City	Cumberland	YES
38	Bridgewater Township	Somerset	
39	Brielle Borough	Monmouth	
40	Brooklawn Borough	Camden	
41	Burlington City	Burlington	
42	Burlington Township	Burlington	

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
43	Caldwell Borough	Essex	
44	Camden City	Camden	YES
45	Carlstadt Borough	Bergen	
46	Cedar Grove Township	Essex	
47	Chatham Borough	Morris	
48	Chatham Township	Morris	
49	Cherry Hill Township	Camden	
50	Chesilhurst Borough	Camden	
51	Chester Township	Morris	
52	Chesterfield Township	Burlington	
53	Clark Township	Union	
54	Clayton Borough	Gloucester	
55	Cliffside Park Borough	Bergen	YES
56	Clifton City	Passaic	
57	Closter Borough	Bergen	
58	Collingswood Borough	Camden	YES
59	Colts Neck Township	Monmouth	
60	Corbin City	Atlantic	
61	Cranbury Township	Middlesex	
62	Cranford Township	Union	
63	Cresskill Borough	Bergen	
64	Deal Borough	Monmouth	
65	Deerfield Township	Cumberland	
66	Delanco Township	Burlington	
67	Demarest Borough	Bergen	
68	Denville Township	Morris	
69	Deptford Township	Gloucester	
70	Dover Town	Morris	
71	Dumont Borough	Bergen	YES
72	Dunellen Borough	Middlesex	
73	East Amwell Township	Hunterdon	
74	East Brunswick Township	Middlesex	
75	East Greenwich Township	Gloucester	
76	East Hanover Township	Morris	
77	East Newark Borough	Hudson	YES
78	East Orange City	Essex	YES
79	East Rutherford Borough	Bergen	
80	East Windsor Township	Mercer	
81	Eastampton Township	Burlington	
82	Eatontown Borough	Monmouth	
83	Edgewater Borough	Bergen	YES
84	Edgewater Park Township	Burlington	

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
85	Edison Township	Middlesex	
86	Egg Harbor City	Atlantic	
87	Elizabeth City	Union	YES
88	Elk Township	Gloucester	
89	Elmwood Park Borough	Bergen	YES
90	Elsinboro Township	Salem	
91	Emerson Borough	Bergen	
92	Englewood City	Bergen	
93	Englewood Cliffs Borough	Bergen	
94	Englishtown Borough	Monmouth	
95	Essex Fells Township	Essex	
96	Estell Manor City	Atlantic	
97	Evesham Township	Burlington	
98	Ewing Township	Mercer	
99	Fair Haven Borough	Monmouth	
100	Fair Lawn Borough	Bergen	
101	Fairfield Township	Essex	
102	Fairview Borough	Bergen	YES
103	Fanwood Borough	Union	
104	Far Hills Borough	Somerset	
105	Farmingdale Borough	Monmouth	
106	Fieldsboro Borough	Burlington	
107	Florence Township	Burlington	
108	Florham Park Borough	Morris	
109	Fort Lee Borough	Bergen	YES
110	Franklin Lakes Borough	Bergen	
111	Franklin Township	Gloucester	
112	Franklin Township	Somerset	
113	Freehold Borough	Monmouth	YES
114	Freehold Township	Monmouth	
115	Garfield City	Bergen	YES
116	Garwood Borough	Union	
117	Glassboro Borough	Gloucester	
118	Glen Ridge Borough	Essex	
119	Glen Rock Borough	Bergen	
120	Gloucester City	Camden	
121	Gloucester Township	Camden	
122	Green Brook Township	Somerset	
123	Greenwich Township	Cumberland	
124	Greenwich Township	Gloucester	
125	Guttenberg Town	Hudson	YES
126	Hackensack City	Bergen	YES

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
127	Haddon Heights Borough	Camden	
128	Haddon Township	Camden	
129	Haddonfield Borough	Camden	
130	Hainesport Township	Burlington	
131	Haledon Borough	Passaic	YES
132	Hamilton Township	Atlantic	YES
133	Hamilton Township	Mercer	
134	Hanover Township	Morris	
135	Harding Township	Morris	
136	Harrington Park Borough	Bergen	
137	Harrison Town	Hudson	YES
138	Harrison Township	Gloucester	
139	Hasbrouck Heights Borough	Bergen	YES
140	Haworth Borough	Bergen	
141	Hawthorne Borough	Passaic	
142	Hazlet Township	Monmouth	
143	Helmetta Borough	Middlesex	
144	Highland Park Borough	Middlesex	YES
145	Highlands Borough	Monmouth	
146	Hightstown Borough	Middlesex	
147	Hillsborough Township	Somerset	
148	Hillsdale Borough	Bergen	
149	Hillside Township	Union	YES
150	Hoboken City	Hudson	YES
151	Ho-Ho-Kus Borough	Bergen	
152	Holmdel Township	Monmouth	
153	Hopewell Borough	Mercer	
154	Hopewell Township	Cumberland	
155	Hopewell Township	Mercer	
156	Howell Township	Monmouth	
157	Interlaken Borough	Monmouth	
158	Irvington Township	Essex	YES
159	Island Heights Borough	Ocean	
160	Jackson Township	Ocean	
161	Jamesburg Borough	Middlesex	YES
162	Jefferson Township	Morris	
163	Jersey City	Hudson	YES
164	Keansburg Borough	Monmouth	YES
165	Kearny Town	Hudson	
166	Kenilworth Borough	Union	
167	Keyport Borough	Monmouth	
168	Lake Como Borough	Monmouth	YES

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
169	Lakehurst Borough	Ocean	
170	Lakewood Township	Ocean	
171	Lawnside Borough	Camden	
172	Lawrence Township	Mercer	
173	Leonia Borough	Bergen	
174	Lincoln Park Borough	Morris	
175	Linden City	Union	
176	Little Falls Township	Passaic	
177	Little Ferry Borough	Bergen	
178	Little Silver Borough	Monmouth	
179	Livingston Township	Essex	
180	Loch Arbour Village	Monmouth	
181	Lodi Borough	Bergen	YES
182	Long Branch City	Monmouth	
183	Long Hill Township	Morris	
184	Lower Alloways Creek Township	Salem	
185	Lumberton Township	Burlington	
186	Lyndhurst Township	Bergen	
187	Madison Borough	Morris	
188	Mahwah Township	Bergen	
189	Manalapan Township	Monmouth	
190	Manasquan Borough	Monmouth	
191	Manchester Township	Ocean	
192	Mannington Township	Salem	
193	Mansfield Township	Burlington	
194	Mantoloking Borough	Ocean	
195	Mantua Township	Gloucester	
196	Manville Borough	Somerset	
197	Maple Shade Township	Burlington	
198	Maplewood Township	Essex	
199	Marlboro Township	Monmouth	
200	Matawan Borough	Monmouth	
201	Maywood Borough	Bergen	YES
202	Medford Lakes Borough	Burlington	
203	Medford Township	Burlington	
204	Mendham Borough	Morris	
205	Mendham Township	Morris	
206	Merchantville Borough	Camden	
207	Middle Township	Cape May	YES
208	Middlesex Borough	Middlesex	
209	Middletown Township	Monmouth	
210	Midland Park Borough	Bergen	

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
211	Millburn Township	Essex	
212	Millstone Township	Monmouth	
213	Milltown Borough	Middlesex	
214	Mine Hill Township	Morris	
215	Monmouth Beach Borough	Monmouth	
216	Monroe Township	Gloucester	
217	Monroe Township	Middlesex	
218	Montclair Township	Essex	
219	Montgomery Township	Somerset	
220	Montvale Borough	Bergen	
221	Montville Township	Morris	
222	Moonachie Borough	Bergen	
223	Morris Plains Borough	Morris	
224	Morris Township	Morris	
225	Morristown Town	Morris	YES
226	Mount Ephraim Borough	Camden	
227	Mount Holly Township	Burlington	YES
228	Mount Laurel Township	Burlington	
229	Mount Olive Township	Morris	
230	Mountain Lakes Borough	Morris	
231	Mountainside Borough	Union	
232	National Park Borough	Gloucester	
233	Neptune City Borough	Monmouth	
234	Neptune Township	Monmouth	
235	New Brunswick City	Middlesex	YES
236	New Hanover Township	Burlington	
237	New Milford Borough	Bergen	
238	New Providence Borough	Union	
239	Newark City	Essex	YES
240	North Arlington Borough	Bergen	
241	North Bergen Township	Hudson	YES
242	North Brunswick Township	Middlesex	
243	North Caldwell Borough	Essex	
244	North Haledon Borough	Passaic	
245	North Hanover Township	Burlington	
246	North Plainfield Borough	Somerset	YES
247	Northvale Borough	Bergen	
248	Norwood Borough	Bergen	
249	Nutley Township	Essex	YES
250	Oakland Borough	Bergen	
251	Oaklyn Borough	Camden	
252	Ocean Township	Monmouth	

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
253	Oceanport Borough	Monmouth	
254	Old Bridge Township	Middlesex	
255	Old Tappan Borough	Bergen	
256	Oradell Borough	Bergen	
257	Orange City	Essex	YES
258	Palisades Park Borough	Bergen	YES
259	Paramus Borough	Bergen	
260	Park Ridge Borough	Bergen	
261	Parsippany-Troy Hills Township	Morris	
262	Passaic City	Passaic	YES
263	Paterson City	Passaic	YES
264	Paulsboro Borough	Gloucester	
265	Peapack & Gladstone Borough	Somerset	
266	Pemberton Township	Burlington	
267	Pennington Borough	Mercer	
268	Pennsauken Township	Camden	
269	Perth Amboy City	Middlesex	YES
270	Pine Beach Borough	Ocean	
271	Pine Hill Borough	Camden	
272	Piscataway Township	Middlesex	
273	Pitman Borough	Gloucester	
274	Plainfield City	Union	YES
275	Plainsboro Township	Middlesex	
276	Pleasantville City	Atlantic	
277	Point Pleasant Beach Borough	Ocean	
278	Point Pleasant Borough	Ocean	
279	Princeton Borough *	Mercer	YES
280	Princeton Township*	Mercer	
281	Prospect Park Borough	Passaic	YES
282	Quinton Township	Salem	
283	Rahway City	Union	
284	Ramsey Borough	Bergen	
285	Randolph Township	Morris	
286	Raritan Borough	Somerset	
287	Readington Township	Hunterdon	
288	Red Bank Borough	Monmouth	
289	Ridgefield Borough	Bergen	
290	Ridgefield Park Village	Bergen	YES
291	Ridgewood Village	Bergen	
292	River Edge Borough	Bergen	
293	River Vale Township	Bergen	
294	Riverside Township	Burlington	

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
295	Robbinsville Township	Mercer	
296	Rochelle Park Township	Bergen	
297	Rockaway Borough	Morris	
298	Rockaway Township	Morris	
299	Rockleigh Borough	Bergen	
300	Rocky Hill Borough	Somerset	
301	Roosevelt Borough	Monmouth	
302	Roseland Borough	Essex	
303	Roselle Borough	Union	YES
304	Roselle Park Borough	Union	YES
305	Roxbury Township	Morris	
306	Rumson Borough	Monmouth	
307	Runnemede Borough	Camden	
308	Rutherford Borough	Bergen	
309	Saddle Brook Township	Bergen	
310	Saddle River Borough	Bergen	
311	Salem City	Salem	YES
312	Sayreville Borough	Middlesex	
313	Scotch Plains Township	Union	
314	Sea Bright Borough	Monmouth	
315	Sea Girt Borough	Monmouth	
316	Seaside Heights Borough	Ocean	
317	Secaucus Town	Hudson	
318	Shamong Township	Burlington	
319	Shiloh Borough	Cumberland	
320	Shrewsbury Borough	Monmouth	YES
321	Shrewsbury Township	Monmouth	
322	Somerville Borough	Somerset	YES
323	South Amboy City	Middlesex	
324	South Bound Brook Borough	Somerset	
325	South Brunswick Township	Middlesex	
326	South Hackensack Township	Bergen	
327	South Orange Village Township	Essex	
328	South Plainfield Borough	Middlesex	
329	South Toms River Borough	Ocean	
330	Southampton Township	Burlington	
331	Spotswood Borough	Middlesex	
332	Spring Lake Borough	Monmouth	
333	Spring Lake Heights Borough	Monmouth	
334	Springfield Township	Burlington	
335	Springfield Township	Union	
336	Stow Creek Township	Cumberland	

			Verizon
#	Municipality	County	NJSA48:5A-25.2
337	Summit City	Union	
338	Tabernacle Township	Burlington	
339	Tavistock Borough	Camden	
340	Teaneck Township	Bergen	
341	Tenafly Borough	Bergen	
342	Teterboro Borough	Bergen	
343	Tinton Falls Borough	Monmouth	
344	Toms River Township	Ocean	YES
345	Totowa Borough	Passaic	YES
346	Trenton City	Mercer	
347	Union Beach Borough	Monmouth	
348	Union City	Hudson	YES
349	Union Township	Union	
350	Upper Deerfield Township	Cumberland	
351	Upper Freehold Township	Monmouth	
352	Upper Saddle River Borough	Bergen	
353	Verona Township	Essex	
354	Victory Gardens Borough	Morris	YES
355	Vineland City	Cumberland	
356	Voorhees Township	Camden	
357	Waldwick Borough	Bergen	
358	Wall Township	Monmouth	
359	Wallington Borough	Bergen	YES
360	Warren Township	Somerset	
361	Washington Township	Bergen	
362	Washington Township	Gloucester	
363	Washington Township	Morris	
364	Watchung Borough	Somerset	
365	Waterford Township	Camden	
366	Wayne Township	Passaic	
367	Weehawken Township	Hudson	YES
368	West Amwell Township	Hunterdon	
369	West Caldwell Township	Essex	
370	West Deptford Township	Gloucester	
371	West Long Branch Borough	Monmouth	
372	West New York Town	Hudson	YES
373	West Orange Township	Essex	
374	West Windsor Township	Mercer	
375	Westampton Township	Burlington	
376	Westfield Town	Union	
377	Westwood Borough	Bergen	
378	Weymouth Township	Atlantic	

#	Municipality	County	Verizon NJSA48:5A-25.2
379	Wharton Borough	Morris	
380	Willingboro Township	Burlington	
381	Winfield Township	Union	YES
382	Winslow Township	Camden	
383	Woodbridge Township	Middlesex	
384	Woodbury City	Gloucester	YES
385	Woodbury Heights Borough	Gloucester	
386	Woodcliff Lake Borough	Bergen	
387	Woodland Park Borough	Passaic	
388	Woodland Township	Burlington	
389	Woodlynne Borough	Camden	YES
390	Wood-Ridge Borough	Bergen	
391	Wrightstown Borough	Burlington	
392	Wyckoff Township	Bergen	

^{*} Princeton Borough and Princeton Township merged

Appendix "II" - Local Offices

Bayonne (Go Wireless)	Princeton (The Cellular Connection)
219 Lefante Way	301 N Harrison St. #25
Bayonne, NJ 07002	Princeton, NJ 08540
201-339-1122	609-688-6820
201 000 1122	000 000 0020
Bedminster (The Cellular Connection)	Shrewsbury (A Wireless)
456 Hills Drive	1130 Broad St.
The Hills Shopping Center	Shrewsbury, NJ 07702
Bedminster, NJ 07921	732-945-7351
908-719-2600	
Bordentown (The Cellular Connection)	Springfield (The Cellular Connection)
280 Dunns Mill Road	Echo Plaza - next to Sherwin Williams
Bordentown, NJ 08505	901 Mountain Avenue
609-298-0070	Springfield, NJ 07081
	973-912-4315
Bridgeton (The Cellular Connection)	Toms River (A Wireless)
15 Cornwell Drive	1 Route 37
Bridgeton, NJ 08302	Toms River, NJ 08753
856-451-1441	732-908-2636
Cape May (The Cellular Connection)	Voorhees (A Wireless)
20 Court House Road	79 Route 73
Cape May Courthouse, NJ 08201	Suite 101
609-536-2694	Voorhees, NJ 08043
	856-335-3137
Denville (A Wireless)	West Orange (A Wireless)
3130 State Route 10 W., Bldg. B	235 Prospect Avenue
Denville Commons	West Orange, NJ 07052
Denville, NJ 07834	973-323-2399
973-607-3219	
Garfield (A Wireless)	Woodbridge (A Wireless)
17 Outwater Lane, Suite A	851 St. Georges Ave
Garfield, NJ 07026	Woodbridge, NJ 07095
862-295-0062	732-7534-8001
Paramus (Wireless Depot)	
318 E Route 4	
Paramus, NJ 07652	
201-487-2600	

NO	MUNICIPALITY	COUNTY	LOCAL OFFICE
	Aberdeen Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Allendale Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Allenhurst Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Allentown Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Alloway Township	Salem	Bridgeton
	Alpine Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Asbury Park City	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Atlantic Highlands Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Audubon Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Audubon Park Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Avon-by-the-Sea Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Barrington Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Bayonne City	Hudson	Bayonne
	Beachwood	Ocean	Toms River
	Bedminster Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Belleville Township	Essex	West Orange
	Bellmawr Borough	Camden	Voorhees
		Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Belmar Borough		,
	Bergenfield Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Berkeley Heights Township	Union	Springfield
	Berkeley Township	Ocean	Toms River
	Berlin Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Berlin Township	Camden	Voorhees
	Bernards Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Bernardsville Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	Bloomfield Township	Essex	West Orange
	Bloomingdale Borough	Passaic	Garfield
	Bogota Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Boonton Township	Morris	Denville
	Bordentown City	Burlington	Bordentown
	Bordentown Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Bound Brook Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	Bradley Beach Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Branchburg Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Brick Township	Ocean	Toms River
	Bridgeton City	Cumberland	Bridgeton
	Bridgewater Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Brielle Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Brooklawn Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Burlington City	Burlington	Bordentown
	Burlington Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Caldwell Township	Essex	West Orange
	Camden City	Camden	Voorhees
	Carlstadt Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Cedar Grove Township	Essex	West Orange
	Chatham Borough	Morris	Denville
	Chatham Township	Morris	Denville
	Cherry Hill Township	Camden	Voorhees
	Chesilhurst Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Chester Township	Morris	Denville
51	Chesterfield Township	Burlington	Bordentown
52	Clark Township	Union	Springfield
53	Clayton Borough	Gloucester	Voorhees

NO	MUNICIPALITY	COUNTY	LOCAL OFFICE
_	Cliffside Park Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Clifton City	Passaic	Garfield
	Closter Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Collingswood Borough	Camden	Voorhees
		Monmouth	
	Corbin City	Atlantic	Shrewsbury
	Corbin City		Bridgeton
	Cranbury Township	Middlesex Union	Woodbridge
	Cranford Township		Springfield
	Deal Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Deerfield Township	Cumberland	Bridgeton
	Demarest Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Denville Township	Morris	Denville
	Deptford Township	Gloucester	Voorgees
	Dover Town	Morris	Denville
	Dumont Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	East Amwell Township	Hunterdon	Princeton
	East Brunswick Township	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	East Hanover Township	Morris	Denville
	East Newark Borough	Hudson	Bayonne
	East Orange City	Essex	West Orange
	East Rutherford Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	East Windsor Township	Mercer	Princeton
	Eastampton Township	Burlington	Bordentown
77	Eatontown Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Edgewater Borough	Bergen	Paramus
79	Edison Township	Middlesex	Woodbridge
80	Egg Harbor City	Atlantic	Bridgeton
	Elizabeth City	Union	Springfield
82	Elk Township	Gloucester	Voorhees
83	Elmwood Park Borough	Bergen	Paramus
84	Elsinboro Township	Salem	Bridgeton
85	Emerson Borough	Bergen	Paramus
86	Englewood City	Bergen	Paramus
87	Englewood Cliffs Borough	Bergen	Paramus
88	Englishtown Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
89	Essex Fells Township	Essex	West Orange
90	Estell Manor	Atlantic	Bridgeton
91	Evesham Township	Burlington	Bordentown
92	Ewing Township	Mercer	Princeton
	Fair Haven Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Fair Lawn Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Fairfield Township	Essex	West Orange
	Fairview Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Fanwood Borough	Union	Springfield
	Far Hills Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	Farmingdale Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Fieldsboro Borough	Burlington	Bordentown
	Florham Park Borough	Morris	Denville
	Fort Lee Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Franklin Lakes Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Franklin Township	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Franklin Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Freehold Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	<u> </u>		

NO	MUNICIPALITY	COUNTY	LOCAL OFFICE
	Freehold Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Garfield City	Bergen	Paramus
	Garwood Borough	Union	Springfield
	Glassboro Borough	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Glen Ridge Township	Essex	West Orange
	Glen Rock Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Gloucester City	Camden	Voorhees
	Gloucester Township	Camden	Voorhees
	Green Brook Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Greenwich Township	Cumberland	Bridgeton
	Guttenberg Town	Hudson	Bayonne
	Hackensack City	Bergen	Paramus
	Haddon Heights Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Haddon Township	Camden	Voorhees
	Haddonfield Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Hainesport Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Haledon Borough	Passaic	Garfield
	Hamilton Township	Mercer	Princeton
	Hamilton Township (Mays Landing)	Atlantic	Bridgeton
	Hanover Township	Morris	Denville
	Harding Township	Morris	Denville
	Harrington Park Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Harrison Town	Hudson	Bayonne
	Harrison Township	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Hasbrouck Heights Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Haworth Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Hawthorne Borough	Passaic	Garfield
	Hazlet Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
135	Helmetta Borough	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Highland Park Borough	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Highlands Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Hightstown Borough	Mercer	Princeton
139	Hillsborough Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Hillsdale Borough	Bergen	Paramus
141	Hillside Township	Union	Springfield
	Hoboken City	Hudson	Bayonne
143	Ho-ho-kus Borough	Bergen	Paramus
144	Holmdel Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
145	Hopewell Borough	Mercer	Princeton
	Hopewell Township	Cumberland	Bridgeton
	Hopewell Township	Mercer	Princeton
	Howell Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Interlaken Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Irvington Township	Essex	West Orange
	Island Heights Borough	Ocean	Toms River
	Jackson Township	Ocean	Toms River
	Jamesburg Borough	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Jefferson Township	Morris	Denville
	Jersey City	Hudson	Bayonne
	Keansburg Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Kearny Town	Hudson	Bayonne
	Kenilworth Borough	Union	Springfield
159	Keyport Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury

NO	MUNICIPALITY	COUNTY	LOCAL OFFICE
_	Lake Como (fSouth Belmar)	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Lakehurst Borough	Ocean	Toms River
	Lakewood Township	Ocean	Toms River
	Lawnside Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Lawrence Township	Mercer	Princeton
	Leonia Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Linden City	Union	Springfield
	Little Falls Township	Passaic	Garfield
	Little Ferry Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Little Silver Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Livingston Township	Essex	West Orange
	Loch Arbour Village	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Lodi Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Long Branch City	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Long Hill Township	Morris	Denville
	Lower Alloways Creek Township	Salem	Bridgeton
	Lumberton Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Lyndhurst Township	Bergen	Paramus
	Madison Borough	Morris	Denville
	Mahwah Township	Bergen	Paramus
	Manalapan Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Manasquan Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Manchester Township	Ocean	Toms River
	Mansfield Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Mantua Township	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Manville Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	Mannington Township	Salem	Bridgeton
	Maple Shade Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Maplewood Township	Essex	West Orange
	Marlboro Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Matawan Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
191	Maywood Borough	Bergen	Paramus
192	Medford Lakes Borough	Burlington	Bordentown
	Medford Township	Burlington	Bordentown
194	Mendham Borough	Morris	Denville
	Mendham Township	Morris	Denville
196	Merchantville Borough	Camden	Voorhees
197	Middle Township (Cape May Court House)	Cape May	Cape May
198	Middlesex Borough	Middlesex	Woodbridge
199	Middletown Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Midland Park Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Millburn Township	Essex	West Orange
	Millstone Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Milltown Borough	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Mine Hill Township	Morris	Denville
	Monmouth Beach Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Monroe Township	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Monroe Township	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Montclair Township	Essex	West Orange
	Montegomery Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Montvale Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Montville Township	Morris	Denville
212	Moonachie Borough	Bergen	Paramus

NO	MUNICIPALITY	COUNTY	LOCAL OFFICE
_	Morris Plains Borough	Morris	Denville
	Morris Township	Morris	Denville
	Morristown Town	Morris	Denville
	Mount Ephraim Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Mount Holly Township		Bordentown
	Mount Laurel Township	Burlington Burlington	
		Morris	Bordentown Denville
	Mount Olive Township	Morris	Denville
	Mountain Lakes Borough Mountainside Borough	Union	
	National Park Borough	Gloucester	Springfield Voorhees
	ט	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Neptune City Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Neptune Township New Brunswick City	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	New Hanover Township		Bordentown
	New Milford Borough	Burlington	Paramus
	New Providence Borough	Bergen Union	Springfield
	Newark City	Essex	West Orange
	North Arlington Borough		Paramus
	North Bergen Township	Bergen Hudson	
	North Brunswick Township	Middlesex	Bayonne
			Woodbridge
	North Caldwell Township North Haledon Borough	Essex Passaic	West Orange Garfield
	North Hanover Township		Bordentown
	North Plainfield Borough	Burlington Somerset	
			Bedminster
	Northvale Borough Norwood Borough	Bergen	Paramus Paramus
	Nutley Township	Bergen Essex	
	Oakland Borough		West Orange Paramus
	Oaklyn Borough	Bergen Camden	Voorhees
	Ocean Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Oceanport Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Old Bridge Township	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Old Tappan Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Oradell Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Orange Township	Essex	West Orange
	Palisades Park Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Paramus Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Park Ridge Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Parsippany Troy Hills Township	Morris	Denville
	Passaic City	Passaic	Garfield
	Paterson City	Passaic	Garfield
	Peapack Gladstone Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	Pemberton Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Pennington Borough	Mercer	Princeton
	Pennsauken Township	Camden	Voorhees
	Perth Amboy City	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Pine Beach	Ocean	Toms River
	Pine Hill Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Piscataway Township	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Pitman Borough	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Plainfield City	Union	Springfield
	Plainsboro Township	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Princeton Borough* (Merged)	Mercer	Princeton
	<u> </u>		1

NO	MUNICIPALITY	COUNTY	LOCAL OFFICE
	Princeton Township* (Merged)	Mercer	Princeton
	Prospect Park Borough	Passaic	Garfield
	Quinton Township	Salem	Bridgeton
	Rahway City	Union	Springfield
	Ramsey Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Randolph Township	Morris	Denville
	Raritan Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	Readington Township	Hunterdon	Princeton
	Red Bank Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Ridgefield Borough	Bergen	Paramus
276	Ridgefield Park	Bergen	Paramus
	Ridgewood	Bergen	Paramus
	River Edge Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	River Vale Township	Bergen	Paramus
	Robbinsville Township	Mercer	Princeton
	Rochelle Park Township	Bergen	Paramus
	Rockaway Borough	Morris	Denville
	Rockaway Township	Morris	Denville
	Rockleigh Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Rocky Hill Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	Roosevelt Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Roseland Borough	Essex	West Orange
	Roselle Borough	Union	Springfield
	Roselle Park Borough	Union	Springfield
	Roxbury Township	Morris	Denville
	Rumson Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
292	Runnemede Borough	Camden	Voorhees
293	Rutherford Borough	Bergen	Paramus
294	Saddle Brook Township	Bergen	Paramus
295	Saddle River Borough	Bergen	Paramus
296	Salem City	Salem	Bridgeton
297	Sayreville Borough	Middlesex	Woodbridge
298	Scotch Plains Township	Union	Springfield
	Sea Bright Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
300	Sea Girt Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
301	Seaside Heights	Ocean	Toms River
302	Secaucus Town	Hudson	Bayonne
303	Shamong Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Shiloh Borough	Cumberland	Bridgeton
	Shrewsbury Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Shrewsbury Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Somerville Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	South Amboy City	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	South Bound Brook Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	South Brunswick Township	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	South Hackensack Township	Bergen	Paramus
	South Orange Village Township	Essex	West Orange
	South Plainfield Borough	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	South Toms River	Ocean	Toms River
	Southampton Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Spotswood Borough	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Spring Lake Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
318	Spring Lake Heights Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury

NO	MUNICIPALITY	COUNTY	LOCAL OFFICE
_	Springfield Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Springfield Township	Union	Springfield
	Stow Creek	Cumberland	Bridgeton
	Summit City	Union	Springfield
	Tavistock Borough	Camden	Voorhees
	Teaneck Township	Bergen	Paramus
	Teterboro Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Tinton Falls Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Toms River Township (fDover Twp)	Ocean	Toms River
	Totowa Borough	Passaic	Garfield
	Trenton City	Mercer	Princeton
	Union Beach Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Union City	Hudson	Bayonne
	Union Township	Union	Springfield
	Upper Deerfield Township	Cumberland	Bridgeton
	Upper Freehold Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Upper Saddle River Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Verona Township	Essex	West Orange
	Victory Gardens Borough	Morris	Denville
	Vineland City	Cumberland	Bridgeton
	Voorhees Township	Camden	Voorhees
	Waldwick Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Wall Township	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
	Wallington Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Warren Township	Somerset	Bedminster
	Washington Township	Bergen	Paramus
	Washington Township	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Watchung Borough	Somerset	Bedminster
	Waterford Township	Camden	Voorhees
	Wayne Township	Passaic	Garfield
	Weehawken Township	Hudson	Bayonne
	West Caldwell Township	Essex	West Orange
351	West Deptford Township	Gloucester	Voorhees
352	West Long Branch Borough	Monmouth	Shrewsbury
353	West New York Town	Hudson	Bayonne
	West Orange Township	Essex	West Orange
355	West Windsor Township	Mercer	Princeton
356	Westampton Township	Burlington	Bordentown
357	Westfield Town	Union	Springfield
	Westwood Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Weymouth Township	Atlantic	Bridgeton
	Wharton Borough	Morris	Denville
	Willingboro Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Winfield Township	Union	Springfield
	Winslow Township	Camden	Voorhees
	Woodbridge Township	Middlesex	Woodbridge
	Woodbury City	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Woodbury Heights Borough	Gloucester	Voorhees
	Woodcliff Lake Borough	Bergen	Paramus
	Woodland Park Borough	Passaic	Garfield
	Woodland Township	Burlington	Bordentown
	Woodlynne Borough	Camden	Voorhees
371	Wood-Ridge Borough	Bergen	Paramus

LOCAL OFFICES

NO	MUNICIPALITY	COUNTY	LOCAL OFFICE
372	Wrightstown Borough	Burlington	Bordentown
373	Wyckoff Township	Bergen	Paramus